# Memorandum for the Review Committee on Quality Assurance Issues Relating to Fresh Water Supply of Public Housing Estates of the Hong Kong Housing Authority

## **Meeting with The Hong Kong Institution of Engineers**

#### **PURPOSE**

On 2 November 2015, The Hong Kong Institution of Engineers ("the HKIE") published a "Report on Study of Lead in Drinking Water in Public Housing Estates" ("the Report") (Annex A). The HKIE also held a press conference and issued a press release (Annex B) on the same day to announce its key findings. This paper summarizes the key findings of the Report which are relevant to the Housing Authority ("the HA"), with a view to facilitating Members' discussion with the HKIE at the meeting on 13 November 2015.

#### **KEY FINDINGS**

- 2. According to the Report, the HKIE set up a task force in response to the incident of excess lead found in drinking water in public housing rental (PRH) estates ("incident"), to look into the incident such that "the general public should be better and more accurately informed on the engineering aspect of this issue". The key findings of the Report **relevant to the HA** are summarized below -
  - (a) On engagement of contractors and consultants -
    - "....the management philosophy of [Housing Department] (HD) in managing risks was by tightening contractor entries and monitoring construction progress by documents with the control drafted at the top of the pyramid." (paragraph 196)
    - "Even when external [Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing Engineering] consultants were engaged the same schematic design flows and material preference had to be followed.

There was no room for innovation and the skill and expertise of external professionals were not utilized." (paragraph 198)

- "....such stringent control on contractors admission qualification does not necessarily guarantee quality and is not conducive to set down a competitive tendering platform." (paragraph 218)
- HD should maintain a list of plumbing subcontractors (press release)
- Plumbing works should come under a separate contract (press release)

# (b) On equipment specification -

- "....wondered why there was a need that reliability must be established with HD projects for electrical and mechanical equipment and why plumbing fittings were exempted. (paragraph 225)
- "The practice only brings about an exceedingly lengthy approval to discourage new comers and with the swift technology development, the models in the approval process might already well be obsolete by the time it was ultimate approved." (paragraph 226)

## (c) On the role of engineers -

• "The potential suppliers would have to pay for the examining organization for assessment, a duty that a project engineer should be responsible. With this arrangement, it appears that the HD engineers would just refer to the list for project acceptance.... had grave concern with this trend..... one of the important duties of BS engineers is to evaluate equipment, its design, availability and application for projects." (paragraphs 233 to 234)

- "....HD has a team of [building services] (BS) professionals the expertise of them was not exploited to its fullness in supervising BS contracts in general and plumbing contracts in particular." (paragraph 278)
- "The role of professionals should take up the dominant role in project management, not blanks, checklist and numerals. The impression that engineers were not accorded duties in line with their attainments and attributes must be demonstrated incorrect. The duty of building services section should be extended from the role as internal consultants to bear due responsibility in plumbing installation contracts." (paragraphs 382 to 383)
- (d) On plumbing installation works -
  - "....the omission [referring to the use of leaded solder not being detected] could have been avoided if
    - (a) BS engineers and inspectors are assigned to monitor plumbing installations; or
    - (b) Clerks of Works were thoroughly and diligently trained to inspect the plumbing installation; or
    - (c) An item covering lead-free tin-solder was included in a check-list form." (paragraph 274)
  - "the failure of on-site monitoring and inspection on the part of HD was a structural deficiency...." (paragraph 275)
- (e) On Water Supplies Department (WSD)'s water sampling protocol -
  - while flushed draws gave "a closer value of the status of the water quality in the system the public enjoy.... These results might not been able to alleviate the uncertainties of the tenants stalked by mounting mistrust." (paragraphs 154 to 155)

- "....WSD Water Sampling Procedure was biased towards measuring the water quality as supplied to the premises and ignored the effects of plumbing materials, including any possible lead solder, on drinking water quality. If the purpose of water sampling is for identifying the presence of lead solder and non-compliant valves and fittings in the plumbing installations, then initial draw-off water samples should be used." (paragraphs 323 to 324)
- "For those estates found with excessive lead, the engineering approach would be first to identify the floors with plumbing installations carried out by the 'questionable' workers with either repeated testing or a larger sampling size. It could be that some floors or some of the blocks installed by other team of workers were 'clean' within the same estate." (paragraph 334)

## Other Findings

- 3. The HKIE's Task Force has made other observations including those relevant to the Water Authority (WA)/WSD and its regulatory regime.
  - (a) On findings and recommendations made by the WSD's Task Force -
    - "....could not agree contribution of lead leaching from other components should be summarily dispensed with....was not convinced by just referring to Hung Fuk Estate it could be concluded tin-solder was the principal culprit for two estates with 6,700 some flats." (paragraph 356)
    - ".... did not agree with the recommendation of changing to stainless steel pipers and fittings just because substandard tin-solder which had escaped due scrutiny for copper pipes was used." (paragraph 359)
    - "Central procurement for tin-solder, a consumable item, is not practical and efficient for construction works.... central procurement could reduce errors but was mindful that it would not be the ultimate panacea." (paragraph 362)

- (b) On WA and its regulatory regime
  - "....the arrangement for [Licensed Plumber] (LP) to be solely responsible for all scopes of work is no longer adequate." (paragraph 55)
  - "....lead-free tin solder as not explicitly or implicitly stated as a specification in WSD various materials searched." (paragraph 90)
  - "....benefit and necessity to induct a strata system of licensing a workforce including professionals, supervisors and workers at different level of competence to cope with the complexity and scale of construction.' (paragraphs 299 to 300)
  - "....registration of plumbing and drainage contractors is also necessary to extend the statutory liability on the part of the employers for employees in breach of a statutory provision." (paragraph 301)
  - "The training of plumbers should be reinforced. The awareness of the seriousness of potential health impacts arisen from lead contamination and the skill of jointing should be stressed. The level of knowledge and competence of soldering joins performed by plumbers is to be adjusted within the Qualification Framework (QF) regime. The weight of the penalty points should also reflect in commensurate with the elevated QF classification." (paragraphs 378 to 379)

#### **HD'S OBSERVATIONS**

- 4. We note that the Report did not discuss or elaborate on the following issues -
  - (a) It only pointed out in paragraph 360 that there were private developments in which copper pipes and tin-solder had been used but no water sample containing excess lead had been found. However, the Report did not discuss the issue of private sector's

awareness of the problem (i.e. risk of presence of lead in solder and its implications for water quality), as well as of private sector practice that may be relevant to minimizing the occurrence of excess lead in drinking water.

- (b) The Report did not mention whether the HKIE had been aware of the problem before the incident.
- (c) While the Report contained details on how pipes were physically joint by using solder, it did not contain findings as to whether, and to what extent, workmanship might have contributed to the problem.
- (d) The Report contained recommendations relevant to the WSD and HD, and on registration of plumbing contractors, monitoring of LPs as well as training of plumbers. But HKIE did not give any recommendation relevant to the performance of main contractors/domestic subcontractors.
- ----- 5. HD's further responses to the Report are at **Annex C**.
  - 6. The HKIE could be asked to share their findings/ observations on the above issues, if any, with the Review Committee at the meeting on 13 November 2015.

#### **INFORMATION**

7. This paper is issued for Members' information.

Miss Irene CHENG Secretary, Review Committee Tel. No.: 2761 6862

Fax No.: 2761 0019

File Ref. : HD (C) DS624/1
Date of Issue : 12 November 2015
Date of Revision : 7 December 2015

- 1. The HKIE Report on Study of Lead in Drinking Water in Public Housing Estates (the Report) covers the work of the Housing Authority (HA) / Housing Department (HD) and other organisations.
- 2. HD's response to the Report as set out in this Annex is confined to relevant parts relating to the work of the HA / HD.
- 3. We noted that the Report contains some statements which are not factually correct or are unrelated to quality of drinking water or the presence of lead therein. It appears that the HKIE did not have a full and accurate understanding of the systems of the HA. Their findings could have been partly based on such misunderstanding. It is regrettable that the HKIE did not seek confirmation nor offer an opportunity for the HA / HD to make clarifications before the Report was published.

Item	Para. no	HKIE Findings	Response
(A) Or	List Mana	gement	
1.	204	The HD does not rely on the lists under the Development	· Noted.
		Bureau and keeps its own list of specialist M&E	· As a prudent risk management measure and to address the frequent need of
		contractors. The contractors had to apply to be	inviting tenders of similar nature for works and to secure competent and reliable
		included in the "Lists of Works Contractors".	contractors, HA has established and maintained HA Lists of contractors and
2.	206	The Conditions for initial application are quite	service providers to save resources for pre-qualification. The "Guide" is drawn up
		reasonable.	to promote transparency of the counterparty list management system and to make
3.	221	The "Guide to Registration of Works Contractors and	the rules clear to counterparties. HA adopts a guiding principle of fairness in
		Property Management Services Providers" also listed	determining the appropriate regulatory action to be imposed in given
		out codified penalty terms in great details mostly based	circumstances.
		on strict measurement of quantifiable parameters.	· HA is not monitoring construction progress by documents only. HA conducts
4.	218	The TF also opined such stringent control on admission	routine site inspection and assesses contractors' performance with PASS on a
		does not necessarily guarantee quality and not	regular basis. This system is not prepared at the top of the pyramid as quoted but
		conducive to setting down a competitive tendering	developed with full participation of the frontline staff of HA and the Contractors and
		platform. It would only narrow the field to fewer	in consultation with stakeholders in the industry.
		patrons.	· HA has been maintaining a transparent and open system and always welcomes all
5.	196	The TF opined, since, the management philosophy of	interested contractors meeting the criteria to apply for admission onto the
		HD in managing risks by tightening contractor entries	appropriate HA Lists.
		and monitoring construction progress by documents with	We have regular dialogues with various stakeholders of the industry for continuous
		the control drafted at the top of the pyramid.	improvement of the admission requirements.

Item	Para. no	HKIE Findings	Response
6.	202	HD did not keep a list of P&D contractors and due to the	P&D as domestic sub-contractor
		domestic contract format communication to P&D	Despite their domestic subcontractor status, HA has always been maintaining
		contractors were through the main contractor.	regular dialogues with the relevant associations of plumbing contractors in the
			discussion of plumbing and drainage works.
			List of P&D contractor
			· HA does not have a list of plumbing installation contractors and will consider the
			use of the Government's List of "Plumbing Installation" (PI) Category under the List
			of Approved Suppliers of Materials and Specialist Contractors for Public Works,
			which is established in 2013 and administrated by the Development Bureau
			(DEVB) and managed by Architectural Services Department.
7.	212	The trade of air conditioning and refrigeration contractors	· HA dissolved the List of ACMV Contractors in 2006 due to the small number of
		outright declined registration and hence there was no list	ACMV works that did not warrant the maintenance of the List.
		established for such specialists. HD refers to the	· To optimize the utilization of resources, HA makes use of the list maintained by
		Development Bureau registered list when needed.	DEVB for tendering of its ACMV works for pre-qualification to engage capable and
			competent contractors on the DEVB's list. The opportunities for contractors to
			tender for HA's ACMV works will not be affected as a result of the dissolution of
			the HA List.

Item	Para. no	HKIE Findings	Response		
(B) Or	B) On List Management Fees				
8.	207	But a one-off non-refundable application fee at about HK\$50K is required for initial applications. The successful applicant will be put on probation period.	<ul> <li>HA is a statutory body established under the Housing Ordinance and is a financially autonomous entity with HD as its executive arm. List management fees, which are calculated based on HA's full cost recovery policy since 1990s, for</li> </ul>		
9.	209	Annual fee of about \$9000 for assessing audit account is required.	admission and annual retention for the HA Lists are to recover the administrative costs for processing the contractor's applications. Fees are paid to HA.		
10.	213	The TF did not know whether the fees were paid to HD, a government department or to HA.	Pursuant to HA-Financial and Accounting Regulation (HA-F&AR) 440 and HA-Costing Policy (HA-CP) No.1, except where otherwise approved by the		
11.	217	Without other provisions the TF questioned the legitimacy of HA charging contractors for the admission to and retaining of the approval list.	<ul> <li>relevant authority or provided under any enactment to the Housing Ordinance, the full cost recovery principle should be adopted in determining the fees and charges of an activity or a service.</li> <li>HA charges a one-off list admission fee and an annual renewal fee for listed contractors to cover the costs for vetting of financial, technical and corporate submissions at admission and annual renewal. The statement that annual fee is "for assessing audit account" is factually incorrect.</li> <li>Review on the charging of list management fees reveals that it has not formed an unnecessary obstacle to the contractors and also complies with the requirements of WTO GPA.</li> </ul>		

Item	Para. no	HKIE Findings	Response
(C) Or	Tendering		
12.	208	There are other requirements to meet before contractors	· To safeguard public housing production and quality of works, it is prudent for HA to
		were invited to tender. It is HD's absolute discretion	invite capable and competent contractors with satisfactory past performance and
		according to a fair and just basis when sending out	proven track record to tender. All eligible contracts can be admitted onto the HA
		invitations to treat.	Lists. To encourage good performance, HA accords more tendering
13.	209	In order to be continually retained on the list paying an	opportunities to contractors who perform well.
		annual fee of about HK\$9000 for assessing audit	· HA will strike a suitable balance between price and performance for tender
		account is required. But the TF was informed even	evaluation.
		after satisfactory assessment of annual accounts listed	· Allocation of tender opportunities is exercised through an open and transparent
		contractor may still not be invited to tender due to lack of	mechanism continuously developed in consultation with the industry. Newly
		completed projects within a period prior to tender calling	admitted contractor (on probation status) is restricted to undertake one contract to
		date.	minimize the risks of HA in awarding a large number of contracts to an 'untested'
14.	211	This policy of managing contractors in this mode denies	contractor while enabling it to build up its track record. Contractor with probation
		HD exposure to a larger pool of entrants.	status may apply for 'confirmed' status following satisfactory completion of on HA
			project or non-HA project after admission.
			· HA's Lists are open to all interested contractors on the market. HA conducts
			annual briefing to contractors to help them understand the rationale and operation
			of the mechanism. Interested contractors are welcome to apply for inclusion onto
			the HA Lists at any time. We do not agree with the statement "This policy of
			managing contractors in this mode denies HD exposure to a larger pool of
			entrants."

Item	Para. No	HKIE Findings	Response		
(D) Or	(D) On WTO GPA				
15.	219	The TF further noted due to provisions of WTO GPA "HA shall have their right to invite any party other than those in the lists" and the same conditions and requirements upon Hong Kong based contractors to retain on the approval list are not enforced on foreign firms.	<ul> <li>HA, as a procuring entity, is subject to the WTO GPA and complies fully with the requirements of GPA.</li> <li>In line with GPA, any interested contractor may apply for inclusion in the relevant Lists at any time. The same admission and retention requirements apply to both local and foreign firms without discrimination.</li> </ul>		
16.	220	The National Treatment requirement of an international treaty does not demand the government to penalize local firms in tendering.	<ul> <li>Tender invitations are generally restricted to the relevant HA Lists of Works Contractors. In circumstances where there are no suitable HA lists, HA will conduct pre-qualification exercise to identify suitable tenderers. Any suggestion that local firms are penalized is unfounded. We do not agree with the statement "penalize local firms in tendering."</li> </ul>		
(E) Or	n Performan	ce Assessment Scoring System (BSPASS)			
17.	244	It appears the tool could encourage the contractors 'to improve the quality' of the project at hands by scoring higher marks so as to be rewarded with a higher grade for future tendering.	· Agreed.		
18.	245	The TF hence noted and agreed to a certain extent with HA other statement that BSPASS "has been proven to be a useful tool for selecting the better performing contractors to tender for upcoming projects".			

Item	Para. No	HKIE Findings	Response
19.	237	The standardized report forms set up by the Bureau	· HA accords priority and importance to monitor the performance of contractors. All
		were to cover qualitative items weighting factors of	adverse performance reports are discussed and rated in Contractor Review
		which, where necessary, could be non-linear and to be	Committee (CRC) chaired by D2 officer. Procurement Review and List
		supplemented by written comments.	Management Board, chaired by D3 officer oversees and reviews the operation of
		D1 to D3 involved when adverse reports arise. Adverse	CRC.
		port was to be in writing.	· All performance reports including ratings for different aspects of assessment and
20.	238	The essence was to prevent adverse performance of	comments prepared by Project Team, whether adverse or not, are in writing. All
		contractors in projects at hands. When an adverse	performance reports are assessed objectively with transparency, in the presence
		report arises higher rankings, from D1 to D3 grades	of contractors' representatives.
		depending on circumstances, of officers must be	
		involved. The adverse report was to be in writing.	
21.	240	The assessment form of BSPASS included numerous	For Items 21 to 31
		examples of calculating formulae with delineation of	· As a general site inspection practice for HA's contract administration functions, we
		weighted scores "to monitor the contractors"	have been applying Building PASS for plumbing installation instead of BSPASS.
		performance".	· BSPASS was developed to measure performance output directly against defined
22.	241	The assessment is further broken down into specific	standards and to provide an objective means of comparing the performance of
		items which can be assessed against pre-determined	individual contractors. Assessments are conducted quarterly, i.e. 4 times evenly
		assessment standards.	spread out each year for each project, in a consistent manner.
23.	242	The TF found the weighting of assessment marks	· In addition to the assessments carried out by inspectors and engineers in charge
		focused more on timely submission of documents as in	of projects, assessments are also conducted by an Independent Team to enhance
		equipment procurement & delivery and the completion	impartiality of BSPASS.
		progress than the workmanship.	

Item	Para. No	HKIE Findings	Response
24.	246	Another objective "BSPASS assessments are not	For Items 21 to 31 (cont'd)
		intended to replace the normal checks, inspections and	· Different modules of assessment were developed to measure the contractor's
		tests to be carried out by the project team" was not	performance during different stages of the contract.
		effectively materialized and was contrary to the	· Works Assessment (70%) measures contractor's work quality at location/spot
		statement that "BSPASS is, nevertheless, seen as a	based on random sampling at construction stage.
		complementary, checking system for the various	· Input Assessment measures management capability including programme and
		aspects."	progress (15%), management and resources (10%), safety and environment (5%).
25.	247	The assessments were carried out by inspectors and	· Scores derived from BSPASS assessments are used in the allocation of tendering
		endorsed by the senior inspector and the project	opportunities as well as in evaluation of tenders.
		engineer in HD projects. The emphasis was to monitor	· Results of BSPASS assessments are provided to contractors to draw their
		items prescribed in the BSPASS.	attention to the aspects which require improvement.
			· In view of the sheer volume of items incorporated in a construction contract, HA
			adopts a risk based approach in determining the items to be included in inspection
26.	248	The TF opined BSPASS is more about project progress	forms.
		monitoring. It accomplishes its purpose if the quality is	· New assessment items would be added if considered necessary for checking for
		more about timely completion than the quality of	presence of lead in soldering materials and in water samples.
		workmanship.	· Project Team will conduct normal checks, inspections and tests on daily basis in
27.	249	The process echoes the logic adopted in equipment	accordance with the progress of works, to be supplemented with BSPASS
		approval with professional inputs being second fiddle to	assessment, which is conducted quarterly.
		listed items. This is a prescriptive and quantitative	· As BSPASS is not applicable to plumbing installations, we cannot see the
		management mode controlled by a form, in ink on a	correlation between the comments on BSPASS and the quality of plumbing works.
		paper sheet.	

.

Item	Para. No	HKIE Findings	Response
28.	251	The TF opined that HD devised a checklist-type form	See Response for Items 21 to 31 above.
		recording past data to compare with that of the future to	
		extrapolate the quality or the trend of its accomplishment	
		in the project management.	
29.	252	The risks and monitoring management is by a	
		quantitative approach with the belief quality will follow.	
30.	253	The HD form could serve its function if sufficient data	
		were recorded. With one datum amiss, that particular	
		sector would escape the scrutiny. With the tin-solder	
		amiss, the rest is history.	
31.	254	The TF opined the checklist with so many items covered	
		and in specific details distracted the needed professional	
		attention to workmanship by patrolling inspectors.	

Item	Para. no	HKIE Findings	Response
(F) On	(F) On Innovations		
32.	197	Development of M&E designs became dormant and new	· We do not agree with these two statements, which reflect a misunderstanding on
		products assessment was almost ceased, The expertise	the part of HKIE.
		and skill of site staff and engineering professionals were	· As a matter of fact, our designs and adoption of new products keep pace with the
		bespoke in filling forms in monitoring the construction	trend of the industry. Examples like use of stainless steel water pipe, LED
		program.	bulkhead, micro climate study on odour dispersion, application of BIM on sun
33.	198	Even when external MEP consultants were engaged the	shading and reflection nuisance from PV panels to people nearby, rain water
		same schematic design flows and material preference	harvest system, hybrid ventilation, lighttube, two level lighting system, twin roof
		had to be followed. There was no room for innovation	tank system, use of lift regenerative power, etc. have been introduced in recent
		and the skill and expertise of external professionals were	years.
		not utilized.	· While MEP consultants are requested to follow our quality documents in the
			design, there are room for their innovation and expertise, including the adoption of
			new technologies appropriate to public housing developments.
			· Consultants who have introduced innovation into HA projects will be given due
			recognition in HA's performance appraisal system (COMIS), which will have
			positive impact on their bidding for HA jobs.

Item	Para. no	HKIE Findings	Response
(G) On	Equipment	Specification	
34.	223	The TF was informed there was a 'probation'	For Items 34 to 37
		requirement of successfully completion of HD projects	· As a matter of principle, it is imperative that the materials for these installations
		before equipment was 'ultimately' approved.	have been proven fit for purpose or else a lot of inconvenience and nuisance will
35.	224	The TF understood and agreed the rationale that choices	be caused to users in case of failure.
		of certain equipment have to be made with proven track	· The application and usage rate of building services installations in public housing
		records, but wondered the need of an indifferent	estates are high. Their performance and reliability will affect a large number of
		application to all types of product:- normal & essential	residents. Therefore a proven track record is essential.
		supplies, main & accessory components, safety &	· However, the requirement for proven reliability is only applicable to the more
		performance compliance and statutory & cosmetic	critical equipment only, such as lift equipment, emergency generator sets and
		supplements, in particular considering:	main cubicle switchboards, not indifferently to all types of product.
		(a) The construction is mostly about residential flats,	· We proceed along the principle with the prudence in specification and we carry out
		(b) Specifications on some equipment already require	trial installation of new products and materials on limited scale before massive
		quality assurance schemes,	application. Recent examples are PV panels installation in three blocks at Lam Tin
		(c) Equipment used in the maintenance work two years	Phases 7 and 8 and trial installation of LED bulkhead at one block at Kai Tak Site
		after completion of projects would not need to follow	1A. Upon accruing successful experience from the trials, we have now
		the same.	incorporated the specifications for general application.
36.	225	The TF wondered why there was a need that reliability	· HA adopts a risk based approach to determine if proven track record is required for
		must be established with HD projects for electrical &	certain types of equipment. Plumbing materials are regarded as low risk items and
		mechanical equipment and why plumbing fittings were	they are subject to WSD approval, unlike E&M items that do not possess a
		exempted.	pre-approval status given by EMSD, we do not consider proven track record
			necessary.

Item	Para. no	HKIE Findings	Response
37.	226	The practice only brings about an exceedingly lengthy	See Response for Items 34 to 37 above.
		approval period to discourage new comers and with the	
		swift technology development, the models in the	
		approval process might already well be obsolete by the	
		time it was ultimately approved.	
38.	232	The TF was also informed HD had initiated the work of	· As a matter of fact, BS engineers evaluate equipment, its design and application
		specifying LED bulkhead by seconding it to outside	for public housing development projects.
		entities for compiling a list of suitable products.	· The specification for LED bulkhead was in fact developed by HA's BS professional
39.	233	The potential suppliers would have to pay for the	staff in collaboration with industry stakeholders and regulators. For upstream
		examining organization for assessment, a duty that a	quality assurance at source, the specification requires a third party product
		project engineer should be responsible. With this	certification scheme and The Hong Kong Electronics Industries Association is the
		arrangement, it appears the HD engineers would just	Scheme Owner. HA had successfully persuaded the industry to develop one. It is
		refer to the list for project acceptance.	reasonable for manufactures to bear the cost of certification if they wish to make
		The TF had grave concern with this trend.	use of the service offered by the scheme operator.
40.	234	The TF opined one of the important duties of BS	
		engineers is to evaluate equipment, its design,	
		availability and application for projects.	

Item	Para No.	HKIE Findings	Response
(H) Or	n Plumbing II	nstallation Works	
41.	264	The TF had compared the standards prescribed in HD	· Agreed.
		specification. It has the most updated version, better that	
		than that from the private MEP consultants, better than	
		that of ASD.	
42.	274	"the omission [referring to the use of leaded solder not	For Items 42 and 43
		being detected] could have been avoided if -	· As stated in the report on Interim Findings of the Hong Kong Housing Authority's
		(a) BS engineers and inspectors are assigned to	Review Committee on Quality Assurance Issues Relating to Fresh Water Supply of
		monitor plumbing installations; or	Public Housing Estates, the "omission" referred to in HKIE Report is due to the lack of
		(b) Clerks of Works were thoroughly and diligently	awareness in the construction industry, as well as HA/HD, of the risk of lead in solder
		trained to inspect the plumbing installation; or	and its implications for the drinking water quality and in turn, the associated health
		(c) An item covering lead-free tin-solder was included	risks. The past mechanism of HA that was in place was consistent with the industry's
		in a check-list form."	practice, the law and other WSD's requirements, but had not focused on the presence
			of lead in the fresh water supply system or in the water, and failed to target soldering
			materials as a high risk item. Such inadequacies include –
			(a) HA's system did not specifically require the main contractor to put in place a
			management plan to control, inspect or supervise the use of soldering materials,
			including quarantine upon delivery of materials;
			(b) In terms of in-process supervision, testing and commissioning, HA's system
			failed to check the presence of lead in soldering joints; and

43.	275	"the failure of on-site monitoring and inspection on the	For Items 42 and 43 (cont'd)
		part of HD was a structural deficiency and not one	(c) In terms of checks on completion of projects, HA's system followed the then
		particular to a specific projects"	WA's requirements on test of water samples for eight parameters, and did not
			include tests for lead.
			Moreover, the general lack of awareness by other stakeholders in this incident are:
			(a) The main contractors, who are responsible for continuous supervision and
			accountable to HA as the contractual party with HA, were well aware of HA's
			specification of using lead-free solder for copper pipe connection. But they
			have failed to pay due attention to the soldering materials used, and never put in
			place sufficient safeguards to ensure that the soldering materials used on-site
			conform to the approved samples;
			(b) The plumbing subcontractors had also overlooked the significance of soldering
			materials used for pipe connections. On-site supervision in this respect had been
			lax before the "excess-lead-in-water" incidents. Purchase of soldering materials
			was sometimes left to their site supervisors or sub-contractors.
			(c) It is not clear whether the LPs, who have a legal duty and liability under WWO to
			carry out the construction of inside service, understand the "lead-free"
			requirement for pipes and fittings as stipulated under the WWR, or the
			composition, including the lead content, of different types of soldering material
			available in the market.

For Items 42 and 43 (cont'd)
(d) It is not clear whether the LPs, who have a legal duty and liability under WWO
to carry out the construction of inside service, understand the "lead-free"
requirement for pipes and fittings as stipulated under the WWR, or the
composition, including the lead content, of different types of soldering material
available in the market.
· Joint effort by different professionals and site inspection team within HA is essential to
tackle such an industry-wide problem. According to the Interim Report of the Review
Committee, the following measures were recommended by the Review Committee to
be put in place by HA/HD, in respect of new PRH projects under construction, to
immediately reduce the risk of occurrence of the presence of lead in solder on joints
and of excessive lead content in fresh water:
(a) for projects which are approaching the completion stage, HA/HD will require the
main contractor to test water samples for heavy metal content (including lead) in
accordance with WSD's latest requirements. Concurrently, HA/HD will require
the contractor to take additional water samples for the above test plus an extra
water quality test.
(b) HA/HD will contractually require the main contractor to submit and comply with a
management plan covering stringent plumbing subcontractor supervision and
on-site monitoring. Such a plan include measures such as central procurement of
soldering materials by the main contractor, checking soldering / brazing materials
upon delivery to site before putting them under quarantine, recording on-site
movement and usage of soldering materials by the workers, recording the works
completed by individual workers so that they become more traceable etc.

	For Items 42 and 43 (cont'd)
	(c) Contractors will also be encouraged to use mechanical jointing of water pipes.
	HA/HD will also require the main contractor to ensure that workers receive
	sufficient training on soldering joint requirements before work starts, and to
	involve the LP in supervising plumbing installation works, submitting regular
	reports and attending regular meetings, to ensure compliance with
	specifications; and
	(d) at any time during construction stage, the main contractor and HA/HD staff will
	use quick test methods to check for the presence of lead in soldering joints.
	HA/HD staff may order laboratory tests if deemed appropriate.

Item	Para No.	HKIE Findings	Response
(I) On	(I) On Recommendations		
44.	381	HA should revisit the tendering format & arrangement	· The HA continuously reviews its systems for improvement.
		and the project controlling philosophy & programming of	· We work in close collaboration with the industry to review, enhance and refine its
		all types of contract.	procurement systems while upholding the following principles –
			(a) Value for Money procurement;
			(b) Appropriate risk management in a holistic manner;
			(c) Continuous improvement at all levels;
			(d) Proper governance including accountability and transparency;
			(e) Provision of level playing field for open and fair market competition; and
			(f) Fair, reasonable and ethical procurement with due regard to probity.
45.	382	The role of professionals should take up the dominant	· The HD's professionals (including BS engineers) have to make use of their
		role in project management, not blanks, checklists and	professional knowledge and judgment in project delivery and in discharging their
		numerals. The impression that engineers were not	duties.
		accorded duties in line with their attainments and	· Public housing developments and public officers undertaking these projects must
		attributes must be demonstrated incorrect.	meet the aspirations of the public. Consistency in standards and procedures, fairness
			and transparency of management systems are prevalent considerations. To this end
			we have established a quality management system, with standards and procedures
			which are to be followed by all project team members.
			· In the continuous development of our quality management system, we have taken
			into account advice offered by the Independent Commission Against corruption to
			address concerns about probity issues that might arise if all decisions are solely left
			to individuals.

Item	Para No.	HKIE Findings	Response
			For item 45 (cont'd)
			· However, professionals are not expected to merely follow the standards and
			procedures laid down in the quality management system. These standards and
			procedures are the minimum requirements. In circumstances where these standards
			and procedures do not fit the circumstances of projects, project professionals,
			including BS engineers, have to make use of their knowledge and judgment for
			satisfactory delivery of the project.
46.	383	The duty of building services section should be extended	The HA will take into account the findings and recommendations of the Commission of
		from the role as internal consultants to bear due	Inquiry into Excess Lead Found in Drinking Water and the Housing Authority's Review
		responsibility in plumbing installation contracts.	Committee on Quality Assurance Issues Relating to Fresh Water Supply of Public
			Housing Estates.
47.	384	The TF submitted the PRH construction is basically a	· Availability and adequacy have always been a key consideration of the HA in
		large scale repetitive work. Adequacy of equipment	specifying construction materials and equipment.
		provision is paramount, not those proprietary and	· The HA will update the specifications from time to time and had commissioned two
		deviated from what are available in the general market.	consultancies to comprehensively review the specifications for building services
			equipment/materials in 2009 and 2010. These specifications have already been
			aligned with the common practices of the industry.

Item	Para No.	HKIE Findings	Response
48.	385	Innovation should be allowed and encouraged in both	· The HA encourages innovation of contractors.
		civil and building services contract. A set of inviting	· We introduced the Three-envelope System in the Integrated Procurement Approach
		criteria would be required.	adopted for the tendering of Kai Tak Site 1B and Anderson Road Site A and Site B
			projects. The third envelope allowed for tenderers' innovations, which were given
			credits in tender evaluation.
			· For technically complex projects, the HA adopts a Two-envelope System for
			tendering of construction works. Tenderers are required to submit a technical
			proposal and are given opportunities to innovate in the aspects of design,
			construction processes, occupational health and safety, as well as environmental
			management.
			- For building contracts with tenders issued since May 2014 on the basis of the new
			General Conditions of Contract, the main contractor and building services nominated
			sub-contractors are encouraged to contribute innovative ideas through making of
			contractor's requested variation proposals for betterment of design and/or
			construction method, under which cost saving to the Contract Sum arising from
			implementation of innovative proposals will be shared with the main contractor and/or
			relevant building services sub-contractors.