Here is the footage of "Site Safety Seminar for Capital Works New Works Contracts" which was held on 26 April 2019 by the Hong Kong Housing Authority The second Questions and Answers session Hello, Tim Sir I am Lam Many colleagues are concerned about the switch from version 1.5.1 to version 1.6 We are quite worried. We might have an adaptation issue Of course, mentioned just now was the 14th Elements There are some changes to the Elements 1 to 13 in version 1.6 We have to get used to it May I ask that in case of any revision in the future time can be allowed for us to coordinate with our accredited safety auditors to agree on how to achieve the criteria and allow to count the score from next quarter onwards That would allow everyone room to manoeuvre can HA or OSHC consider this point? The changes were drafted by the end of last year followed by consultation with the contractor association The Lunar New Year holidays might have reduced time for comment It was a busy time at Lunar New Year The association was consulted again in late March Effective date will be on 1st of April 2019 Please reply us soon. Will the contractor association agree? A positive reply came in mid March All need to agree to most items before the version is endorsed For changes in the future I do not know when version 1.6 will be enhanced to 1.7 However this will surely happen, and then from 1.7 to 1.8

The upgrading will continue Some contractors may have opinions and feedback But we have not heard any news In late March, our auditors told us of the revised safety audit There are new terms. We need to get ready for them shortly Better communication can be done Perhaps the committee of Contractors Association has received the draft but the committee may not have relayed the message to each member company And companies may not have relayed to their safety officers Frontline may not know your consultation done for the change and did not have enough time to get ready Any future revisions are actually a ongoing process The contractor association can inform member contractors Some contractors may not join certain committee of the association Or HA would not wait for the association but inform their individual member contractors We are discussing some items with the association But you can take a look at the contents in advance It may not be the final version It may be further revised in the process, or be deleted Compared to the first draft, some items have been deleted due to strong opposition of the association or I do not think the items are feasible If contractors cannot relay the message to the frontline then, I will not be able to do much I cannot present the proposed changes to all safety officers I hope every contractor can understand the association will discuss internally Or they can tell their boss what they can see If I find it necessary to get ready for these 10 items

then I have to get ready for them Maybe only 7 items can be introduced finally, it does not matter If we do not mind the trouble, we can proceed in this way As our implementation is in a hurry we have sought OSHC to suspend some new assessment criteria If a personnel cannot start a safety training course in time the score for that particular item can be waived but all such requirements will be enforced in the 3rd guarter I have requested OSHC to arrange more training courses No excuse for not attending classes If classes are available but nobody attend, there is no point Accredited Safety Auditors (ASA) have been told When I attended the OSHC briefing in January I also told ASAs at the meeting We know site instruction issued by Contract Manager (CM) need to be executed You may not do what CM have not asked Thus, for items without site instructions of CM The ASA will not deduct any marks For ongoing projects, without instructions from HD these items will be considered as NA items and you will not be penalised With this adjustment, the impact should be minimal Enhancement items will be on hold These audit items will not be scored Those on trainers will not be scored either Therefore, I expect the difference from that of the 1st quarter will be little Thus, scores will show little changes I would not want to see great difference Next time, it could be like this ASAs learned in January that there would be changes After OSHC's briefing

when ASAs conduct inspections between January and March they can have the documents to discuss with contractors This is a draft. I do not know how much of it will be enforced But you can take a look first. Prepare what you can now You may think ASAs cannot be certain when they have only a draft to discuss with contractors You are not sure if the changes are real or not, it's ok Everyone must get ready I am not afraid of reviewing documents; I would do and learn I suggest asking ASAs to take the document during site visit The documents may be enforced soon You can think. I think it is better in this way It would be easier for frontline workers I would not want it to be harsh on workers although this revision is prepared by me Thank you for Mr. Lam's question Thank you, Mr. Lau Hello I represent contractors. My name is Fu I would like to ask Mr. Lau about tool modification required by safety innovations The machine may not have that part and alteration is needed After that, the lamp is outside the bar bending machine As the machine is used outdoors, electrical leakage may occur The risk of bar bending is solved but electrical leakage may occur Because the parts are modified manufacturers will no longer accept the machines as original This is our consideration Can you appoint companies for machine modifications? There is no reference now about outdoor machines

If they are modified by ourselves and are exposed to rain Electrical leakage may occur This is a dangerous situation There is always difficulty in implementation We must not violate the law or subject ourselves to dangers For improving safety, but we create another danger This of course is a "No" No In case of similar questions please liaise with Occupational Safety and Health Council or building services personnel for assessing its feasibility If there is a problem that cannot be solved then, we may not implement the item Please provide your feedback when you meet any difficulty Your feedback are welcome and we will work out a solution I would like to add one point, about lamps leaking electricity In a lot of machine designs, for example, in control circuits using 12-volt or 24-volt direct current For indicator light or specific illumination no need to use 280, 380 or 220 voltage If I use 380 voltage for transforming to feed a light I will have an electrical insulation box There is a risk, for example, when exposed to weather But how to check the danger? You are referring to electrical leakage designs I am referring to voltage. That is, 12-volt direct current What are your worries? I am not an expert but I know there must be a solution These are not complicated Excuse me, I have a few questions

Forklift trucks should stop in 2 seconds This is impossible, however hard we try Because they are mechanical, not digital Stopping in 2 seconds is possible if they are digital but I cannot find any digital forklift trucks I can get mechanical ones and they can stop in 5 seconds Is that acceptable? Trying very hard already My second question is about crane operator "sit behind the mentor" People have different interpretations Should the new operator be operating the crane with a mentor supervising him as he is still a mentee or should he be sitting behind observing an experienced mentor lifting a pre-cast wall It's too soon to allow a new hand to lift pre-cast components This is the second question The third question is about signaller There are 4 new courses some are 4-hour, some are 14-hour My 1st choice is the 4-hour course, but lessons are fewer The nearest lesson will be in late June It is already a full class I believe it is because everyone will opt for the 4-hour course Before 2018, riggers are exempted In future, will current riggers be asked to take the course If yes, I will arrange them to join the classes These are the 3 questions. Thank you About signaller, CIC offers 4 courses Some are 4-hour, others longer, why Would differences in qualifications be a reason Or would experienced riggers be going to the 4-hour course

Learn by reviewing the past If you have little knowledge, join the 14-hour course Understand the course content The implication may be more than the number of class hours Regarding training technique, OSHC please reply I can respond briefly That training technique course is for trainers of tool box talks Safety officers need not take the course again It is assumed they are well versed with the technique The course targets at safety supervisors or foremen Training to give safety seminars will be stepped up Some foremen are excellent workers but not as good in teaching The course will enhance them in this regard It is hoped that they attend the training technique courses OSHC offers 2 courses and CIC offers 2 courses Train the Trainer requires longer hours It is more comprehensive with 3 days, 12 or 14 hours Another one is half day course for training technique are more practical lessons on how to be a trainer We accept any one of the four courses A colleague said just now that there are not enough classes We have communicated with the training centre More classes will be offered if necessary Can someone remind me what the next question is About signaller, we worry about the impact on the industry too Those joining the industry before 2018 are exempted If you join the industry in or after 2018 please study CIC course to enhance your skills Thank you for your effort Keep up the effort Yes

Keep trying if the 2-second requirement is not met In fact, many forklift trucks can do this It depends on whether you can explore with the manufacturer the necessary accessories and parts and whether he agrees to do the fitting for you Of course, he may say he is not the agent for that brand of forklift truck. The sale does not include maintenance The 2-second requirement must be complied with We want to avoid forklift truck accidents Hong Kong has had many forklift truck accidents involving deaths and injuries A forklift truck operator trapped himself to death. Why? Because he is free to stand inside the operation cubicle stretching out his arm or head Hence the forklift truck death toll in Hong Kong and Macau is high 5 seconds are enough to cause deaths a few times 2 seconds are the basic requirement. Let us keep up the effort For the Tower Crane Operators Mentoring Programme Mentees are to sit behind the mentors and learn the technique They are not allowed to operate the crane One year of experience is not enough. That is too dangerous Let me add to that Plenty of training requires screening off a "No Work In Progress" zone for training purposes For example, in lifting if mentor and mentee are inside the cabin at the same time training in a separate zone Not during working hours. Not in the working area They may be asked to do it after work This is a normal training method Okay

Thank you, everyone Thank you, our honourable guests Thank you for all your questions In the coming July, there will be a Safety Forum Do join the forum Thank you Thank you for watching